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## Course Objectives

$\Rightarrow$ Understand how MEMS are designed
$\Rightarrow$ Understand some of the computational techniques that go into the development of MEMS simulation tools
$\Rightarrow$ Specific examples: electrostatic MEMS, microfluidics

## Outline

$\Rightarrow$ Some MEMS Examples
Mixed-Domain Simulation of electrostatic MEMS and microfluidics
$\Rightarrow$ Techniques for interior problems (e.g. FEM)
$\Rightarrow$ Techniques for exterior problems (e.g. BEM)
$\Rightarrow$ Algorithms

## Pressure Sensor


$\Rightarrow$ Applications
$\Rightarrow$ Biomedical (e.g. blood pressure)

## Accelerometer



## Micro Mirror


$\Rightarrow$ Applications
$\Rightarrow$ High performance projection displays

## Outline

$\checkmark$ Some MEMS Examples
Mixed-Domain Simulation of electrostatic MEMS and microfluidics
$\Rightarrow$ Techniques for interior problems (e.g. FEM)
$\Rightarrow$ Techniques for exterior problems (e.g. BEM)
$\Rightarrow$ Algorithms
$\Rightarrow$ Dynamic Analysis

## Is Electrostatics a good idea?

## Scaling Laws

$\Rightarrow$ Useful to understand where macro-theories start requiring corrections with the aim of better understanding the physical consequences of downscaling
$\Rightarrow$ Develop an understanding of how systems are likely to behave when they are downsized
$\Rightarrow$ Examples

- By reducing the size of a device, the structural stiffness generally increases relative to inertially imposed loads
- The mass or weight scales as $l^{3}$, while the surface tension scales as 1 as the system size becomes smaller
- More difficult to empty liquids from a capillary compared to spilling coffee from a cup because of increased surface tension in a capillary
$\Rightarrow$ Heat loss is proportional to $\mathrm{I}^{2}$; Heat generation is proportional to $\mathrm{l}^{3}$; As animals get smaller, a greater percentage of their intake is required to balance heat loss; Insects are cold blooded


## Scaling in Electrostatics

| Distance | L |
| :--- | :--- |
| Velocity | L |
| Mass | $L^{3}$ |
| Gravity | $L^{3}$ |
| Surface Tension | L |
| Electrostatic force | $\mathrm{L}^{2}$ |

## Consider a capacitor

The electrostatic P.E. stored in a capacitor is: $\boldsymbol{E}_{e, \boldsymbol{m}}=\frac{\varepsilon_{0} \varepsilon_{r} \boldsymbol{h} w V_{b}^{2}}{2 d}$
$\boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{b}}=$ electrical breakdown voltage

| Friction | $\mathrm{L}^{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| van der Waals | $\mathrm{L}^{1 / 4}$ |
| Time | $\mathrm{L}^{0}$ |
| Muscle force | $\mathrm{L}^{2}$ |
| Power | $\mathrm{L}^{3}$ |
| Torque | $\mathrm{L}^{3}$ |



## Scaling in Electrostatics

Assume $\boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{b}}$ scales linearly with d (the gap)

$$
E_{e, m}=\frac{l^{0} l^{1} l^{1} l^{2}}{l^{1}} \rightarrow l^{3}
$$

The maximum energy stored in the capacitor scales as $L^{3}$

If $L$ decreases by a factor of 10 , the stored energy in the capacitor decreases by a factor of 1000

## Electrostatic Force

$$
F_{x}=-\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{1}{2} C V^{2}\right) \quad F_{y}=-\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(\frac{1}{2} C V^{2}\right) \quad F_{z}=-\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left(\frac{1}{2} C V^{2}\right)
$$

Electrostatic force scales as $\mathrm{L}^{2}$; This is an advantage

$$
F_{x}=\frac{l^{3}}{l} \rightarrow l^{2} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { because mass and ine } \\
& \text { electrostatic force gai } \\
& \text { the system decreases }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Scaling Laws: Vertical Bracket Notation

Different possible forces can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{F}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{l}^{1} \\
\boldsymbol{l}^{2} \\
\boldsymbol{l}^{3} \\
\boldsymbol{l}^{4}
\end{array}\right\} \quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { case where the force scales as } \mathrm{L}^{1} \\
\text { case where the force scales as } \mathrm{L}^{2}
\end{array} \\
& \frac{P}{V_{0}}=\left(F \frac{x}{t}\right)\left(\frac{1}{V_{0}}\right)=\frac{l^{F} l}{\sqrt{l^{4-F}}} \frac{1}{l^{3}} \\
& F=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
l^{1} \\
l^{2} \\
l^{3} \\
l^{4}
\end{array}\right\} \rightarrow a=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
l^{-2} \\
l^{-1} \\
l^{0} \\
l^{1}
\end{array}\right\} \quad t=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
l^{3 / 2} \\
l \\
l^{1 / 2} \\
1
\end{array}\right\} \quad \frac{P}{V_{0}}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
l^{-2.5} \\
l^{-1} \\
l^{0.5} \\
l^{2.0}
\end{array}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Scaling Laws: Remarks

$\Rightarrow$ Even in the worst case when $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{L}^{4}$, the time required to perform a task remains constant when the system is scaled down
$\Rightarrow$ Under more favorable force scaling (e.g. $F=L^{2}$ ), the time required decreases as $L$ (a system 10 times smaller can perform an operation 10 times faster i.e. small things tend to be quick)

For Electrostatics $\quad \boldsymbol{l}^{F}=\boldsymbol{l}^{2} \quad \boldsymbol{a}=\boldsymbol{l}^{-1} \quad \boldsymbol{t}=\boldsymbol{l}^{\mathbf{1}} \quad \frac{\boldsymbol{P}}{\boldsymbol{V}_{0}}=\boldsymbol{l}^{-1.0}$
$\Rightarrow$ When the force scales as $F=L^{2}$, the power per unit volume scales as $L^{-1}=>$ When the scale decreases by a factor of 10 , the power that can be generated per unit volume increases by a factor of 10

## Outline

$\checkmark$ Some MEMS Examples
Mixed-Domain Simulation of electrostatic MEMS and microfluidics
(1) Techniques for interior problems (e.g. FEM)
$\Rightarrow$ Techniques for exterior problems (e.g. BEM)
$\Rightarrow$ Algorithms

## Elastostatics

$\Rightarrow$ Finite-difference methods
$\Rightarrow$ Finite-element methods

- Meshless methods


## Finite Element Method: Introduction

Key steps in FEM:
-Construct a weak or a variational form of the problem

- Obtain an approximate solution of the variational equations through the use of finite element functions


## 1-D Example: Strong and Weak Forms

- Strong form

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
u_{, x x}+f=0 & 0 \leq x \leq 1 \\
\boldsymbol{u}(1)=q & \text { Dirichlet B.C. }  \tag{array}\\
-u_{, x}(0)=h & \text { Neumann B.C. }
\end{array}
$$

- Trial function

$$
\delta=\left\{u \mid u \in H^{1}, u(1)=q\right\}
$$

-Test function (or weighting functions) $\quad v=\left\{w \mid w \in H^{1}, w(1)=0\right\}$

- Derive weak form

$$
\int_{0}^{1} w\left(u_{, x x}+f\right) d x=0
$$

- Integrate by parts

$$
\left.w u_{, x}\right|_{0} ^{1}-\int_{0}^{1} w_{, x} u_{, x} d x+\int_{0}^{1} w f d x=0
$$

Weak form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} w_{, x} u_{, x} d x=\int_{0}^{1} w f d x+w(0) h \tag{w}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 1-D Example: Galerkin Form

-Notations: $\quad a(w, u)=\int_{0}^{1} w_{, x} u_{, x} d x \quad(w, f)=\int_{0}^{1} w f d x$
-The weak form can be rewritten as

$$
a(w, u)=(w, f)+w(0) h
$$

- Galerkin Approximation Method

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u^{h} \in \delta^{h} \\
& w^{h} \in v^{h}
\end{aligned}
$$



Galerkin form $\quad a\left(w^{h}, u^{h}\right)=\left(w^{h}, f\right)+w^{h}(0) h$
$\begin{aligned} & \text { Apply the } \\ & \text { interpolation }\end{aligned} \quad w^{h}=\sum_{A=1}^{N} N_{A} C_{A} \quad u^{h}=\sum_{B=1}^{N} N_{B} d_{B}$

$$
a\left(\sum_{A=1}^{N} N_{A} C_{A}, \sum_{B=1}^{N} N_{B} d_{B}\right)=\left(\sum_{A=1}^{N} N_{A} C_{A}, f\right)+\sum_{A=1}^{N} N_{A}(0) C_{A} h
$$

## 1-D Example: Matrix Form

$$
\sum_{A=1}^{N} C_{A}\left\{\sum_{B=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} N_{A, x} N_{B, x} d \Omega d_{B}-\int_{\Omega} N_{A} f d \Omega-N_{A}(0) h\right\}=0
$$

$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}}$ 's are arbitrary, so

$$
\sum_{B=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} N_{A, x} N_{B, x} d \Omega d_{B}=\int_{\Omega} N_{A} f d \Omega+N_{A}(0) h \quad \text { for } \mathrm{A}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{~N}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\sum_{B=1}^{N} K_{A B} d_{B}=F_{A} & \text { for } \mathrm{A}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{~N} \\
K_{A B}=\int_{\Omega} N_{A, x} N_{B, x} d \Omega & F_{A}=\int_{\Omega} N_{A} f d \Omega+N_{A}(0) \boldsymbol{h}
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { The matrix form } \quad K d=F \tag{M}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 1-D Example: Matrix Form

$$
\begin{gathered}
K=\left[K_{A B}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
K_{11} & K_{12} & \cdots \cdots & K_{1 N} \\
K_{21} & K_{22} & & K_{2 N} \\
\vdots & & & \\
K_{N 1} & K_{N 2} & & K_{N N}
\end{array}\right] \\
F=\left\{F_{A}\right\}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
F_{1} \\
F_{2} \\
\vdots \\
F_{N}
\end{array}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

## Remarks:

- $K$ is symmetric;
- For any given problem, $\quad(S) \Leftrightarrow(W) \approx(G) \Leftrightarrow(M)$


## Shape Functions



Shape functions

$$
N_{A}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\frac{x-x_{A-1}}{h_{A-1}}, & x_{A-1} \leq x \leq x_{A} \\
\frac{x_{A+1}-x}{h_{A}}, & x_{A} \leq x \leq x_{A+1} \\
0, & \text { otherwize }
\end{array}\right.
$$

$$
N_{1}(x)=\frac{x_{2}-x}{h_{1}}, \quad x_{1} \leq x \leq x_{2} \quad N_{N}(x)=\frac{x-x_{N-1}}{h_{N-1}}, \quad x_{n-1} \leq x \leq x_{n}
$$

## Local/Element Point of View

For a linear finite element

| Domain | global | local |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nodes | $\left[x_{A}, x_{A+1}\right]$ | $\left[\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right]$ |
| Degree of freedom | $\left\{x_{A}, x_{A+1}\right\}$ | $\left\{\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right\}$ |
| Shape functions | $\left\{d_{A}, d_{A+1}\right\}$ | $\left\{d_{1}, d_{2}\right\}$ |
| Interpolation <br> function | $\left.N_{A}, N_{A+1}\right\}$ | $\left\{N_{1}, N_{2}\right\}$ |
|  | $N_{A+1}(x) d_{A+1}$ | $\boldsymbol{u}^{h}(\xi)=N_{1}(\xi) d_{1}$ |
|  |  | $+N_{2}(\xi) d_{2}$ |

## Mapping



## Mapping

- Local Shape function

$$
N_{a}(\xi)=\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\xi_{a} \xi\right)
$$

$$
N_{1}=\frac{1}{2}(1-\xi) \quad N_{2}=\frac{1}{2}(1+\xi)
$$

where $\quad \xi(x)=\frac{2 x-x_{A}-x_{A-1}}{h_{A}}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{, \xi}^{e}=\frac{\boldsymbol{h}^{e}}{2}=\frac{x_{2}{ }^{e}-x_{1}^{e}}{2} \quad \xi_{, x}^{e}=\left(x_{, \xi}^{e}\right)^{-1}=\frac{2}{\boldsymbol{h}^{e}} \\
& x^{e}(\xi)=\sum_{a=1}^{2} N_{a}(\xi) x_{a}^{e}=N_{1}(\xi) x_{1}^{e}+N_{2}(\xi) x_{2}^{e}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Derivative of the Shape function

$$
N_{a, \xi}=\frac{\xi_{a}}{2}=\frac{(-1)^{a}}{2}
$$

## Matrix Assembly

- Global stiffness

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{K} & =\sum_{e=1}^{n e l} \boldsymbol{K}^{e} \\
\boldsymbol{F} & =\sum_{e=1}^{n e l} \boldsymbol{F}^{e}=\left[\boldsymbol{K}_{A B}^{e}\right] \\
& \boldsymbol{F}^{e}=\left[\boldsymbol{F}_{A}^{e}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

- Force vector
where

$$
\begin{gathered}
K^{e}{ }_{A B}=a\left(N_{A}, N_{B}\right)^{e}=\int_{\Omega^{e}} N_{A, x} N_{B, x} d x \\
F_{A}^{e}=\left(N_{A}, f\right)^{e}+N_{A}(0) h
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\Omega^{e}=\left[\boldsymbol{x}^{e}{ }_{1}, \boldsymbol{x}^{e}{ }_{2}\right] \quad$ is the domain of the e-th element

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{a b}^{e} & =\int_{\Omega^{e}} N_{a, x}(x) N_{b, x}(x) d x \\
& =\int_{-1}^{+1} N_{a, x}(x(\xi)) N_{b, x}(x(\xi)) x_{, \xi} d \xi=\int_{-1}^{+1} N_{a, \xi} N_{b, \xi}\left(x_{, \xi}\right)^{-1} d \xi
\end{aligned}
$$

local stiffness

$$
K^{e}=\frac{1}{h^{e}}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -1 \\
-1 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Assembly Process

## example


local stiffness

$$
K^{(1)}=\frac{1}{h^{(1)}}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -1 \\
-1 & 1
\end{array}\right] \quad K^{(2)}=\frac{1}{h^{(2)}}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -1 \\
-1 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Global stiffness

$$
\boldsymbol{K}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccccc} 
& & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \\
& & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \\
\cdots & \cdots & \boldsymbol{X} & -\boldsymbol{X} & & \cdots & \cdots \\
\cdots & \cdots & -\boldsymbol{X} & \boldsymbol{X}+\boldsymbol{Y} & -\boldsymbol{Y} & \cdots & \cdots \\
\cdots & \cdots & & -\boldsymbol{Y} & \boldsymbol{Y} & \cdots & \cdots \\
& & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \\
& & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & &
\end{array}\right] 12
$$

## FEM: Multidimensional Problems

Divergence theorem $\quad \int_{\Omega} f_{, i} d \Omega=\oint_{\Gamma} f n_{i} d \Gamma$
Integration by parts

$$
\int_{\Omega} f_{, i} g d \Omega=\oint_{\Gamma} g f n_{i} d \Gamma-\int_{\Omega} f g_{, i} d \Omega
$$

## Heat Conduction

Strong form: given $\quad f: \Omega \rightarrow \Re, \quad g: \Gamma_{g} \rightarrow \Re, \quad h: \Gamma_{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { find } \boldsymbol{u}: \Omega \rightarrow \Re \quad \text { such that } \\
& \qquad \begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{q}_{i, i}=\boldsymbol{f} \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
\boldsymbol{u}=\boldsymbol{g} \quad \text { on } \Gamma_{g} \\
-\boldsymbol{q}_{i} \boldsymbol{n}_{\boldsymbol{i}}=\boldsymbol{h} \quad \text { on } \Gamma_{h}
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\boldsymbol{q}_{i}=-\boldsymbol{K}_{i j} \boldsymbol{u}_{, j} \quad K_{i j} \text { are the conductivities }
$$

## Weak Form: Heat Conduction Problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{\Omega} w\left(q_{i, i}-f\right) d \Omega=0 \\
\int_{\Gamma} w q_{i} n_{i} d \Gamma-\int_{\Omega} w_{i} q_{i} d \Omega-\int_{\Omega} w f d \Omega=0
\end{gathered}
$$

Apply the property of the weighting function $\boldsymbol{w}=\boldsymbol{0}$ on $\Gamma_{g}$

$$
-\int_{\Omega} w_{, i} q_{i} d \Omega=\int_{\Omega} w f d \Omega+\int_{\Gamma_{n}} w h d \Gamma
$$

Notation:

$$
\begin{gathered}
a(w, u)=\int_{\Omega} w_{, i} K_{i j} u_{, j} d \Omega \\
(w, f)=\int_{\Omega} w f d \Omega \quad(w, h)_{\Gamma}=\int_{\Gamma_{h}} w h d \Gamma
\end{gathered}
$$

- Weak form $\quad a(w, u)=(w, f)+(w, h)_{\Gamma_{n}}$
- Galerkin form $\quad a\left(w^{h}, u^{h}\right)=\left(w^{h}, \boldsymbol{f}\right)+\left(w^{h}, \boldsymbol{h}\right)_{\Gamma_{h}}$


## Heat Conduction Problem: Matrix Form

Substitute the interpolation

$$
w^{h}=\sum_{A=1}^{N} N_{A} C_{A} \quad u^{h}=\sum_{B=1}^{N} N_{B} d_{B}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
a\left(\sum_{A=1}^{N} N_{A} C_{A}, \sum_{B=1}^{N} N_{B} d_{B}\right)=\left(\sum_{A=1}^{N} N_{A} C_{A}, f\right)+\left(\sum_{A=1}^{N} N_{A} C_{A}, h\right)_{\Gamma} \\
\sum_{B=1}^{N} a\left(N_{A}, N_{B}\right) d_{B}=\left(N_{A}, f\right)+\left(N_{A}, h\right)_{\Gamma}
\end{gathered}
$$

- Matrix form

$$
K d=F
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
K_{A B}=a\left(N_{A}, N_{B}\right) \\
F_{A}=\left(N_{A}, f\right)+\left(N_{A}, h\right)_{\Gamma}
\end{gathered}
$$

## FEM for Elastostatics

Strong form: given $f_{i}, g_{i}$ and $h_{i}$, find $u_{i}$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\sigma_{i j, j}+f_{i}=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
u_{i}=g_{i} & \text { on } \Gamma_{g i} \\
\sigma_{i j} n_{j}=h_{i} & \text { on } \Gamma_{h}
\end{array}
$$

The stress is related to the strain by Hooke's law

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma_{i j}=c_{i j k l} \varepsilon_{k l} \\
\text { Strain tensor } \quad \varepsilon_{i j}=\frac{u_{i, j}+u_{j, i}}{2}=u(i, j) \\
\int_{\Omega} w_{i}\left(\sigma_{i j, j}+f_{i}\right) d \Omega=-\int_{\Omega} w_{i, j} \sigma_{i j} d \Omega+\int_{\Gamma} w_{i} \sigma_{i j} n_{j} d \Gamma+\int_{\Omega} w_{i} f_{i} d \Omega=0
\end{gathered}
$$

- Weak form

$$
\int_{\Omega} w(i, j) \sigma_{i j} d \Omega=\int_{\Omega} w_{i} f_{i} d \Omega+\sum_{i=1}^{n s d}\left(\int_{\Gamma} w_{i} h_{i} d \Gamma\right)
$$

## FEM for Elastostatics

Why

$$
\boldsymbol{w}_{i, j} \sigma_{i j}=\boldsymbol{w}(\boldsymbol{i}, \boldsymbol{j}) \sigma_{i j}
$$

rewrite

$$
w_{i, j}=w(i, j)+w[i, j]
$$

where

$$
w(i, j)=\frac{w_{i, j}+w_{j, i}}{2}
$$

(symmetric)

$$
w[i, j]=\frac{w_{i, j}-w_{j, i}}{2} \quad \text { (skew symmetric) }
$$

since

$$
\begin{aligned}
w_{i, j} \sigma_{i j} & =(w(i, j)+w[i, j]) \sigma_{i j} \\
& =w(i, j) \sigma_{i j}+w[i, j] \sigma_{i j}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
w[i, j] \sigma_{i j}=-w[j, i] \sigma_{i j}=-w[j, i] \sigma_{j i}=-w[i, j] \sigma_{i j} \\
w[i, j] \sigma_{i j}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

So

$$
w_{i, j} \sigma_{i j}=w(i, j) \sigma_{i j}
$$

## FEM for Elastostatics

Notation:

$$
\begin{gathered}
a(w, u)=\int_{\Omega} w(i, j) c_{i j k l} u(k, l) d \Omega \\
(w, f)=\int_{\Omega} w_{i} f_{i} d \Omega \quad(w, h)_{\Gamma}=\sum_{i=1}^{n s d}\left(\int_{\Gamma_{h i}} w_{i} h_{i} d \Gamma\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

- Weak form $\quad a(w, u)=(w, f)+(w, h)_{\Gamma} \quad$ for all $w \in v$
- Galerkin form $\quad a\left(w^{h}, u^{h}\right)=\left(w^{h}, f\right)+\left(w^{h}, h\right)_{\Gamma}$

$$
u_{i}^{h}=\sum_{A=1}^{n} N_{A} d_{i A} \quad i=1,2,3\left(3 d o f^{\prime} s\right)
$$

- Matrix form

$$
K d=F
$$

## Bilinear Quadrilateral Element



## Shape Functions

- Shape functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{1}(\xi, \eta)=\frac{1}{4}(1-\xi)(1-\eta) \\
& N_{2}(\xi, \eta)=\frac{1}{4}(1+\xi)(1-\eta) \\
& N_{3}(\xi, \eta)=\frac{1}{4}(1+\xi)(1+\eta) \\
& N_{4}(\xi, \eta)=\frac{1}{4}(1-\xi)(1+\eta)
\end{aligned}
$$

Property of the shape function

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{a=1}^{n e n} N_{a}(\xi, \eta) & =\frac{1}{4}(1-\xi)(1-\eta)+\frac{1}{4}(1+\xi)(1-\eta)+\frac{1}{4}(1+\xi)(1+\eta)+\frac{1}{4}(1-\xi)(1+\eta) \\
& =1
\end{aligned}
$$

## Numerical Integration

Numerical integration

$$
\int_{-1}^{+1} g(\xi) d \xi=\sum_{l}^{n_{\text {int }}} g\left(\xi_{l}\right) w_{l}+R \cong \sum_{l}^{n_{\text {int }}} g\left(\xi_{l}\right) w_{l}
$$

- Trapezoidal rule

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n_{\text {int }}=2 \\
& \bar{\xi}_{1}=-1 \quad w_{l}=1 \quad l=1,2 \\
& \bar{\xi}_{2}=+1 \\
& R=-\frac{2}{3} g_{, \xi \xi}(\bar{\xi})
\end{aligned}
$$

- Simpson's rule

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n_{\mathrm{int}}=3 \\
& \bar{\xi}_{1}=-1 \\
& \bar{\xi}_{2}=0 \\
& \bar{\xi}_{3}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
w_{1}=w_{3}=\frac{1}{3}
$$

$$
w_{2}=\frac{4}{3}
$$

$$
R=-\frac{1}{90} g^{(4)}(\bar{\xi})
$$

## Gaussian Quadrature Rules

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
n_{\text {int }}=1 \quad & \bar{\xi}_{1}=0 \quad w_{1}=2 \\
& R=\frac{g_{, \xi \xi}(\bar{\xi})}{3}
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
n_{\text {int }}=2 \quad & \bar{\xi}_{1}=-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \quad \bar{\xi}_{2}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \\
& w_{1}=w_{2}=1 \\
& R=\frac{g^{(4)}(\bar{\xi})}{135}
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{\xi}_{1}=-\sqrt{\frac{3}{5}} \quad \bar{\xi}_{2}=0 \quad \bar{\xi}_{3}=\sqrt{\frac{3}{5}} \\
& w_{1}=w_{3}=\frac{5}{9} \quad w_{2}=\frac{8}{9} \\
& R=\frac{g^{(6)}(\bar{\xi})}{15750}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Gaussian Quadrature Rules in 2-D

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{-1}^{+1} \int_{-1}^{+1} g(\xi, \eta) d \xi d \eta & \cong \int_{-1}^{+1}\left\{\sum_{l^{(1)}=1}^{n_{i n t}^{(1)}} g\left(\bar{\xi}_{l^{(l)}}^{(1)}, \eta\right) \boldsymbol{w}_{l^{(l)}}^{(1)}\right\} d \eta \\
& \cong \sum_{l^{(1)}=1}^{n_{\text {int }}^{(1)}} \sum g\left(\bar{\xi}_{l^{(l)}}^{(1)}, \bar{\eta}_{l^{(2)}}^{(2)}\right) \boldsymbol{w}_{l^{(1)}}^{(1)} \boldsymbol{w}_{l^{(2)}}^{(2)}
\end{aligned}
$$


examples

$$
\int_{-1}^{+1} \int_{-1}^{+1} g(\xi, \eta) d \xi d \eta=4 g(0,0)
$$

$$
\int_{-1}^{+1} \int_{-1}^{+1} g(\xi, \eta) d \xi d \eta=g\left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}},-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\right)+g\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}},-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\right)+g\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\right)+g\left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\right)
$$

## Finite Deformation Elastodynamics



Transformation function $\mathbf{x}=\varphi(\mathbf{X}) \quad d \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{F} d \mathbf{X}$

Deformation gradient

$$
\mathbf{F}=D \varphi(\mathbf{X})=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\frac{\partial \varphi_{1}}{\partial X_{1}} & \frac{\partial \varphi_{1}}{\partial X_{2}} & \frac{\partial \varphi_{1}}{\partial X_{3}} \\
\frac{\partial \varphi_{2}}{\partial X_{1}} & \frac{\partial \varphi_{2}}{\partial X_{2}} & \frac{\partial \varphi_{2}}{\partial X_{3}} \\
\frac{\partial \varphi_{3}}{\partial X_{1}} & \frac{\partial \varphi_{3}}{\partial X_{2}} & \frac{\partial \varphi_{3}}{\partial X_{3}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
J(\varphi)=\operatorname{det}[\mathbf{F}]
$$

Volume transformation $\quad d v=J d V$
Area transformation $\quad(d \mathbf{s}) \mathbf{n}=J(d \mathbf{S})\left(\mathbf{F}^{T}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{N}$
Density transformation $\quad \rho=\frac{1}{J} \rho_{0}$
Velocity

$$
\mathbf{V}=\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}
$$

Cauchy stress
$\sigma=\frac{1}{J} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{F}^{T}$

## Elastodynamics: Governing Equations

Strong form:

$$
\rho_{0} \frac{\partial^{2} \varphi}{\partial t^{2}}=\operatorname{Div}[\mathbf{P}]+\rho_{0} \mathbf{B}
$$

where

$$
\mathbf{P}=\mathbf{F S}
$$

$$
\mathbf{S}=\mathbf{C} \mathbf{E} \quad \mathbf{C}=\mathbf{F}^{T} \mathbf{F} \quad \mathbf{E}=\frac{1}{2}[\mathbf{c}-\mathbf{I}]
$$

- Boundary conditions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi=\mathbf{g} \text { on } \Gamma_{g} \text { at }[0, T] \\
& P_{i A} n_{A}=h_{i} \text { on } \Gamma_{h_{i}} \text { at }[0, T]
\end{aligned}
$$

- Initial conditions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\varphi\right|_{t=0}=\varphi^{0} \text { in } \Omega \\
& \left.\mathbf{V}\right|_{t=0}=\mathbf{V}^{(0)} \text { in } \Omega
\end{aligned}
$$

## FEM for Elastodynamics

- Weak form:

$$
G(\varphi, \eta)=\int_{\Omega} G r a d[\eta]:[D \varphi \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{E}(\varphi))] d V-\int_{\Omega} \rho_{0} \mathbf{B} \cdot \eta d V-\int_{\Gamma_{h}} \eta \cdot \mathbf{h} d \Gamma=0
$$

- Galerkin form:

$$
G\left(\varphi^{h}, \eta^{h}\right)=\int_{\Omega} G r a d\left[\eta^{h}\right]:\left[D \varphi^{h} \mathbf{S}^{h}\right] d V-\int_{\Omega} \rho_{0} \mathbf{B} \cdot \eta^{h} d V-\int_{\Gamma_{h}} \eta^{h} \cdot \mathbf{h} d \Gamma=0
$$

Nonlinear equations: $\quad F^{\text {int }}(\mathbf{d})-F^{\text {ext }}=0$
Where

$$
\mathcal{F}^{\text {int }}(\mathbf{d})=\int_{\Omega} \mathscr{B}^{T} \hat{\mathbf{S}} d V \quad F^{\text {ext }}=\int_{\Omega} \rho_{0} \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{N} d V+\int_{\Gamma_{h}} \mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{N} d \Gamma
$$

## Solution of Nonlinear Systems: Newton Methods

The scalar problem: $\boldsymbol{r}(\boldsymbol{d})$ is a scalar nonlinear function of $\boldsymbol{d}$. Find $\bar{d}$ such that

$$
r(d)=0
$$

Possibilities:

one unique solution

several solutions

no solutions

## Newton's Method

## - Solution strategy:

Guess a "good" $d_{0}$ close to the solution;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \qquad \begin{aligned}
r(\bar{d}) & =r\left(d_{0}\right)+\left.\frac{d}{d \varepsilon} r\left(d_{0}+\varepsilon \Delta d\right)\right|_{\varepsilon=0}+\left.\frac{1}{2!} \frac{d^{2}}{d \varepsilon^{2}} r\left(d_{0}+\varepsilon \Delta d\right)\right|_{\varepsilon=0}+\ldots \ldots \\
r(\bar{d}) & \cong r\left(d_{0}\right)+\left.\frac{d}{d \varepsilon} r\left(d_{0}+\varepsilon \Delta d\right)\right|_{\varepsilon=0} \\
& =r\left(d_{0}\right)+K\left(d_{0}\right) \Delta d=0 \\
\Delta d & =-\frac{r\left(d_{0}\right)}{K\left(d_{0}\right)} \\
d_{1} & =d_{0}+\Delta d
\end{aligned} \\
& \text { Geometric interpretation }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Algorithm: Newton's Method

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i=0 \\
& d_{i}=d_{0} \\
& \text { for } i=1,2, \ldots \ldots \text { until convergence } \\
& \text { if }\left|r\left(d_{i}\right)\right|<\text { tol } \\
& \quad \bar{d}=d_{i} \\
& \text { else } \\
& \qquad \Delta d=-\frac{r\left(d_{i}\right)}{r^{\prime}\left(d_{i}\right)} \\
& \quad d_{i+1}=d_{i}+\Delta d \\
& \text { end if } \\
& \text { end for }
\end{aligned}
$$

The error at the i -th iteration is given by $\quad e^{(i)}=d_{i}-\bar{d}$

$$
\text { if }\left|e^{(i+1)}\right| \leq C\left|e^{(i)}\right|^{k} \quad \text { then we say the algorithm converges with order } \mathrm{k}
$$

Newton's method has quadratic convergence, i.e. $k=2$

## Modified Newton's Method

## Advantages of Newton's method

- Optimal (quadratic) convergence close to solution

Disadvantages of Newton's method

- Poor or no convergence far away from the solution;
- Computation of $\mathrm{K}\left(d_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ is very expensive in the general multidimensional case ( $\mathrm{K}\left(d_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ is a matrix).

Algorithm: modified Newton's method

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i=0 \\
& d_{i}=d_{0} \\
& \text { for } i=1,2, \ldots \ldots \text { until convergence } \\
& \text { if }\left|r\left(d_{i}\right)\right|<t o l \\
& \quad \bar{d}=d_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i=0 \\
& d_{i}=d_{0} \\
& \text { for } i=1,2, \ldots \ldots \text { unti } \\
& \text { if }\left|r\left(d_{i}\right)\right|<t o l \\
& \quad \bar{d}=d_{i} \\
& \text { else } \\
& \qquad \Delta d=-\frac{r\left(d_{i}\right)}{r^{\prime}\left(d_{0}\right)} \\
& \qquad d_{i+1}=d_{i}+\Delta d \\
& \text { end if } \\
& \text { end }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Newton Method: Multidimensional Case

Let $\underline{R}(\underline{d})$ be a n -dimensional vector valued nonlinear function of the n dimensional vector $\underline{d}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{1}=\hat{R}_{1}\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots \ldots, d_{n}\right) \\
& R_{2}=\hat{R}_{2}\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots \ldots, d_{n}\right) \\
& \vdots \\
& \vdots \\
& R_{n}=\hat{R}_{n}\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots \ldots, d_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Directional or Frechet derivative

$$
\left.\frac{d}{d \varepsilon} \underline{R}(\underline{d}+\varepsilon \underline{u})\right|_{\varepsilon=0}=\nabla \underline{R} \underline{u}
$$

Similar to the scalar case

$$
=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{\partial R_{1}}{\partial d_{1}} & \frac{\partial R_{1}}{\partial d_{2}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial R_{1}}{\partial d_{n}} \\
\vdots & \ddots & & \vdots \\
\vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\
\frac{\partial R_{n}}{\partial d_{1}} & \frac{\partial R_{n}}{\partial d_{n}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial R_{n}}{\partial d_{n}}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{c}
u_{1} \\
u_{2} \\
\vdots \\
u_{n}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\underline{R}(\overline{\bar{d}}) & \left.=\underline{R}\left(\underline{d_{0}}\right)+\left.\frac{d}{d \varepsilon} \underline{R}\left(\underline{d_{0}}+\varepsilon \Delta \underline{d}\right)\right|_{\varepsilon=0}+\frac{1}{2!} \frac{d^{2}}{d \varepsilon^{2}} \underline{R}\left(\underline{d_{0}}+\varepsilon \Delta \underline{d}\right)\right)_{\varepsilon=0}+\ldots \ldots \\
& \cong \underline{R}\left(d_{0}\right)+\left.\frac{d}{d \varepsilon} \underline{R}\left(\underline{d_{0}}+\varepsilon \Delta \underline{d}\right)\right|_{\varepsilon=0} \\
& =\underline{R}\left(d_{0}\right)+\underline{K}_{T}\left(\underline{d_{0}}\right) \Delta \underline{d}=0 \quad \underline{K}_{T}\left(\underline{d_{0}}\right) \text { is called the tangent stiffness matrix }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Newton-Raphson Method: Algorithm

$n=0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { step loop (load stepping e.g) } \\
& i=0 \\
& \underline{d}^{(i)}=\underline{d}_{n} \\
& \text { do (iteration loop) } \\
& \qquad \underline{K}_{T}\left(\underline{d}^{(i)}\right) \Delta \underline{d}^{(i)}=-\underline{R}^{(i)} \\
& \quad:=\underline{F}_{n+1}^{e n t}-\underline{F}_{n+1}^{\text {int }}\left(\underline{d}^{(i)}\right) \\
& \quad \underline{d}^{(i+1)}=\underline{d}^{(i)}+\Delta \underline{d}^{(i)} \\
& \quad i=i+1 \\
& \text { until }\left\|\underline{R}^{(i+1)}\right\|<\varepsilon\left\|\underline{R}^{(i)}\right\| \\
& \underline{d}_{n+1}=\underline{d}^{(i)} \\
& n \leftarrow n+1
\end{aligned}
$$

## Remarks:

- The load step is needed since the method might not converge if the entire load is applied at once. Instead the load is applied incrementally. Each increment is converged before the next step is applied.
- Likewise one might have to apply the "g" b.c.'s incrementally. This method is called "displacement control".
- In practice, the terminology "NewtonRaphson method"is often used to denote algorithms in which a new left hand size matrix is formed for each iteration. If KT is not updated in each iteration, but kept frozen for a couple of iterations, the term "modified Newton" method is used.


## Outline

$\checkmark$ Some MEMS Examples
Mixed-Domain Simulation of electrostatic MEMS and microfluidics
$\checkmark$ Techniques for interior problems (e.g. FEM)
Techniques for exterior problems (e.g. BEM)
$\Rightarrow$ Algorithms

## BEM - Introduction

$\square$ What is Boundary Element Method?
$>$ Boundary discretization only
$>$ Integral based method


Analysis of a turbine blade using FEM and BEM
$\square$ Approaches available for solving boundary integral equations
$>$ BEM based on Collocation
$>$ BEM based on Galerkin

## Comparison of FEM and BEM

| FEM | BEM |
| :--- | :--- |
| Local approach | Global approach, Integral <br> based |
| Domain mesh <br> (2D/3D) | Boundary mesh (1D/2D) |
| Symmetric, sparse and <br> large matrices | Unsymmetric, dense and <br> smaller matrices |
| Lot of commercial <br> packages available | Fewer packages available |

## Table 1

| Problems $\left(\nabla^{2} \phi=0\right)$ | Scalar function ( $\phi$ ) | Dirichlet b.c. $(\phi=\bar{\phi})$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Neumann } \\ & \text { b.c. }\left(K \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial n}=\bar{q}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Constant (K) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Heat <br> Transfer | Temperature $(T \equiv D e g .)$ | $(T=\bar{T})$ | Heat flow $\left(-\lambda \frac{\partial T}{\partial \boldsymbol{n}}=\overline{\boldsymbol{q}}\right)$ | Thermal conductivity $(\lambda)$ |
| Elastic torsion | Warping function ( $\psi$ ) |  | $(r \cos (r, t)=\bar{q})$ | ${ }_{\text {r }}$ |
| Ideal fluid flow | Stream function $\left(\phi \equiv m^{2} s^{-1}\right)$ | $(\phi=\bar{\phi})$ | $\left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial n}=\bar{q}\right)$ |  |
| Electrostatic | Field potential $(V \equiv \text { volt })$ | $(V=\bar{V})$ | Electric flow $\left(-\varepsilon \frac{\partial V}{\partial n}=\bar{q}\right)$ | Permittivity ( $\varepsilon$ ) |
| Electric conduction | Electropotential ( $E \equiv$ volt $)$ | $(E=\bar{E})$ | Electric current $\left(\frac{1}{k} \frac{\partial E}{\partial n}=\bar{q}\right)$ | Resistivity (k) |

## Boundary Integral Formulation

$>$ Laplace equation represents many problems in engineering (Table 1)

$\nabla^{2} T=0 \quad$ inside
Temperature ' $T$ ' known on the surface
Interior problem

$\nabla^{2} \phi=0 \quad$ outside
Potential $\phi$ known on the surface
Exterior problem

## Boundary Integral Formulation

## $>$ BEM is based on the Second Theorem of Green

$>$ Problem definition

- Governing equation:

$$
\nabla^{2} \phi=p(x) \quad x \in \Omega
$$

$$
p(x)\left\{\begin{array}{l}
=0 ; \text { Laplace } \\
\neq 0 ; \text { Poisson }
\end{array}\right.
$$

- Dirichlet boundary condition (b.c):

$$
\phi(y)=\bar{\phi}(y) \quad y \in \Gamma_{u}
$$

- Neumann boundary condition (b.c):

Definition of the problem

$$
\left.\frac{\partial \phi(x)}{\partial n}\right|_{x=y}=\bar{q}(y) \quad y \in \Gamma_{n}
$$

## Boundary Integral Formulation

## Derivation of BIE:

Multiplying ( $\nabla^{2} \phi-p$ ) with $\phi^{*}$ and integrating over $\Omega$

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left(\nabla^{2} \phi-p\right) \phi^{*} d \Omega=0
$$

Integrating by parts we get (2D case),

$$
\int_{\Gamma} \phi^{*} \nabla \phi \cdot \boldsymbol{n d} \Gamma-\int_{\Omega}\left(\nabla \phi \cdot \nabla \phi^{*}+\boldsymbol{p} \phi^{*}\right) d \Omega+=0
$$

Integrating by parts the second integral we get,

$$
\int_{\Gamma} \phi^{*} \nabla \phi \cdot \boldsymbol{n d} \Gamma-\int_{\Gamma} \phi \nabla \phi^{*} \cdot \boldsymbol{n d} \Gamma+\int_{\Omega}\left(\phi \nabla^{2} \phi^{*}-\boldsymbol{p} \phi^{*}\right) d \Omega=\mathbf{0}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{n}$ is the outward normal to the boundary $\Gamma$

## Boundary Integral Formulation

Therefore, Equation (1) can be written as,

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left(\nabla^{2} \phi-p\right) \phi^{*} d \Omega=\int_{\Omega}\left(\phi \nabla^{2} \phi^{*}-\boldsymbol{p} \phi^{*}\right) \mu \Omega+\int_{\Gamma} \phi^{*} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \boldsymbol{n}} d \Gamma-\int_{\Gamma} \phi \frac{\partial \phi^{*}}{\partial \boldsymbol{n}} d \Gamma=\boldsymbol{0}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Rightarrow \int_{\Omega}\left[\left(\nabla^{2} \phi\right) \phi^{*}-\left(\nabla^{2} \phi^{*}\right) \phi\right] d \Omega=\int_{\Gamma}\left(\phi^{*} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial n}-\phi \frac{\partial \phi^{*}}{\partial n}\right) d \Gamma \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\phi^{*}$ is the Fundamental Solution of Laplace equation.

## Boundary Integral Formulation

## Fundamental Solution $\phi$ * for Laplace equation :

$>$ satisfies Laplace equation
$>$ represents field generated by a concentrated unit charge acting at a point ' $i$ '
$>$ effect of this charge is propagated from ' $i$ ' to infinity

$$
\nabla^{2} \phi^{*}+\delta(i, j)=0 \quad \delta(\boldsymbol{i}, \boldsymbol{j})=\text { Dirac Delta function }
$$

Multiplying with $\phi$ and integrating we get,

$$
\int_{\Omega} \phi\left(\nabla^{2} \phi^{*}\right) d \Omega=\int_{\Omega} \phi(-\delta(i, j)) d \Omega=-\phi^{i}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{i}+\int_{\Gamma} \phi\left(\frac{\partial \phi^{*}}{\partial n}\right) d \Gamma=\int_{\Gamma}\left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial n}\right) \phi^{*} d \Gamma \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Boundary Integral Formulation

## Fundamental Solutions : One Dimensional Equations

|  | Equation | Fundamental <br> Solution |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Laplace | $\nabla^{2} \phi^{*}+\delta_{0}=0$ | $\phi^{*}=\frac{r}{2}, r=\|x\|$ |
| Helmholtz | $\nabla^{2} \phi^{*}+\lambda^{2} \phi^{*}+\delta_{0}=0$ | $\phi^{*}=-\frac{1}{2 \lambda} \sin (\lambda r)$ |
| Wave Equation | $c^{2} \nabla^{2} \phi^{*}-\frac{\partial^{2} \phi^{*}}{\partial t^{2}}+\delta_{\theta} \delta(t)=0$ | $\phi^{*}=\frac{1}{2 \boldsymbol{c}} \boldsymbol{H}(c t-r)$ |
| $H=H$ eaviside function |  |  |
| Diffusion Equation | $\nabla^{2} \phi^{*}-\frac{1}{\boldsymbol{k}} \frac{\partial \phi^{*}}{\partial t^{2}}+\delta_{\theta} \delta(t)=0$ | $\phi^{*}=\frac{-\boldsymbol{H}(t)}{\sqrt{4 \pi k t}} \exp \left(\frac{-r^{2}}{4 \boldsymbol{k} t}\right)$ |
| Convection/decay <br> Equation | $\frac{\partial \phi^{*}}{\partial \boldsymbol{t}}+\bar{\phi} \frac{\partial \phi^{*}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}}+\beta \phi^{*}+\delta_{0} \delta(t)=0$ | $\phi^{*}=-\boldsymbol{e}^{-\beta \frac{r}{\phi}} \delta\left(t-\frac{r}{\phi}\right)$ |

## Boundary Integral Formulation

## Fundamental Solutions : Two Dimensional Equations

|  | Equation | Fundamental Solution |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Laplace | $\nabla^{2} \phi^{*}+\delta_{0}=0$ | $\phi^{*}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \ln \left(\frac{1}{r}\right), r=\sqrt{x_{1}{ }^{2}+x_{2}{ }^{2}}$ |
| Helmholtz | $\nabla^{2} \phi^{*}+\lambda^{2} \phi^{*}+\delta_{0}=0$ | $\begin{aligned} & \phi^{*}=\frac{1}{4 i} H_{0}{ }^{(2)}(\lambda r) \\ & H_{0}=\text { Hankel function } \end{aligned}$ |
| D'Arcy <br> (orthotropic case) | $k_{1} \frac{d^{2} \phi^{*}}{d x_{1}{ }^{2}}+k_{2} \frac{d^{2} \phi^{*}}{d x_{2}{ }^{2}}+\delta_{\theta}=0$ | $\phi^{*}=-\frac{1}{\sqrt{k_{1} \boldsymbol{k}_{2}} \frac{1}{2 \pi} \ln \left[\left(\frac{x_{1}{ }^{2}}{\boldsymbol{k}_{1}}+\frac{x_{2}{ }^{2}}{k_{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]}$ |
| Wave Equation | $c^{2} \nabla^{2} \phi^{*}-\frac{\partial^{2} \phi^{*}}{\partial t^{2}}+\delta_{\theta} \delta(t)=0$ | $\phi^{*}=-\frac{H(c t-r)}{2 \pi c\left(c^{2} t^{2}-r^{2}\right)}$ |
| Plate Equation | $\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}}-\mu^{2} \nabla^{4}\right) \phi^{*}+\delta_{\theta} \delta(t)=0$ | $\begin{aligned} & \phi^{*}=+\frac{H(t)}{4 \pi \mu} S_{i}\left(\frac{r}{4 \pi t}\right) \\ & S_{i}=\text { Integral sine function } \end{aligned}$ |
| Navier's Equation | $\frac{\partial \sigma_{j k}}{\partial x_{j}}+\delta_{l}=0$ | $\phi_{k}{ }^{*}=U_{k}{ }_{k}{ }^{\text {a }}$ |

## Boundary Integral Formulation

Fundamental Solutions: Three Dimensional Equations

|  | Equation | Fundamental Solution |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Laplace | $\nabla^{2} \phi^{*}+\delta_{0}=0$ | $\phi^{*}=\frac{1}{4 \pi r}, r=\sqrt{x_{1}{ }^{2}+x_{2}{ }^{2}+x_{3}{ }^{2}}$ |
| Helmholtz | $\nabla^{2} \phi^{*}+\lambda^{2} \phi^{*}+\delta_{0}=0$ | $\phi^{*}=\frac{1}{4 \pi r} e^{-i \pi r}$ |
| D'Arcy | $k_{1} \frac{d^{2} \phi^{*}}{d x_{1}^{2}}+\boldsymbol{k}_{2} \frac{d^{2} \phi^{*}}{d x_{2}^{2}}+k_{3} \frac{d^{2} \phi^{*}}{d x_{3}^{2}}+\delta_{0}=0$ |  |
| Wave Equation | $c^{2} \nabla^{2} \phi^{*}-\frac{\partial^{2} \phi^{*}}{\partial t^{2}}+\delta_{\rho} \delta(t)=0$ | $\phi^{*}=\frac{\delta\left(t-\frac{r}{c}\right)}{4 \pi r}$ |
| Navier's Equation (Isotropic homogenous) | $\frac{\partial \sigma_{j k}^{*}}{\partial x_{j}}+\delta_{l}=0$ | $\phi_{k}{ }^{*}=U_{l k}{ }^{*} e_{l}$ |

## Boundary Integral Formulation

## What happens when point ' $\boldsymbol{i}$ ' is on $\Gamma$ ?



3D case - Hemisphere around point ' $\boldsymbol{i}$ '


2D case - Semicircle around point ' $i$ '

Augment the boundary with
$>$ Hemisphere of radius $\varepsilon$ in 3D
$>$ Semicircle of radius $\varepsilon$ in 2D

## Boundary Integral Formulation

Consider equation (3) before any boundary conditions have been applied,

$$
\phi^{i}+\int_{\Gamma} \phi\left(\frac{\partial \phi^{*}}{\partial n}\right) d \Gamma=\int_{\Gamma} \phi^{*}\left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial n}\right) d \Gamma
$$

- RHS integral easy to deal (lower order singularity),

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}\left\{\int_{\Gamma_{\varepsilon}} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial n} \phi^{*} d \Gamma\right\}=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}\left\{\int_{\Gamma_{\varepsilon}} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial n} \frac{1}{4 \pi \varepsilon} d \Gamma\right\}=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}\left\{\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial n} \frac{2 \pi \varepsilon^{2}}{4 \pi \varepsilon}\right\} \equiv 0
$$

- LHS integral behaves as,

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}\left\{\int_{\Gamma_{\varepsilon}} \phi \frac{\partial \phi^{*}}{\partial n} d \Gamma\right\}=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}\left\{-\int_{\Gamma_{\varepsilon}} \phi \frac{1}{4 \pi \varepsilon^{2}} d \Gamma\right\}=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}\left\{-\phi \frac{2 \pi \varepsilon^{2}}{4 \pi \varepsilon^{2}}\right\}=-\frac{1}{2} \phi^{i}
$$

## Boundary Integral Formulation

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c \phi^{i}+\int_{\Gamma} \phi\left(\frac{\partial \phi^{*}}{\partial n}\right) d \Gamma=\int_{\Gamma} \phi^{*}\left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial n}\right) d \Gamma \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$c=\frac{1}{2}, \quad$ for smooth boundaries

$$
c=\frac{\theta}{2 \pi} \quad \text { for corner points }
$$



Boundary with corner point

## Boundary Integral Formulation (contd.)

## Exterior Problem - Electrostatics,



Potential $\phi$ known on the surface of each conductor
For 3D Electrostatic problem the boundary integral equation is,

$$
\phi^{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{N_{c}} \int_{\Gamma_{j}} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial n} \phi^{*} d \Gamma
$$

## Boundary Element Method

Equation (4) is discretized to find system of equations
Boundary is divided into $N$ elements


Discretized form of equation (3) at point ' $i$ ' is given as,

$$
c \phi^{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{N} \int_{\Gamma_{j}} \phi \frac{\partial \phi^{*}}{\partial n} d \Gamma=\sum_{j=1}^{N} \int_{\Gamma_{j}} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial n} \phi^{*} d \Gamma
$$

## Boundary Element Method

## In matrix form,

$$
[\boldsymbol{H}]\{\Phi\}=[\boldsymbol{G}]\left\{\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \boldsymbol{n}}\right\}
$$

where $H^{i j}$ and $G^{i j}$ are the influence coefficients given as,
' $i$ ' is the source point (where fundamental solution is acting)
' $j$ ' is the field point (any other nodes on the boundary)

## Boundary Element Method

## Constant Elements:

$>\phi$ and $\phi^{*}$ are assumed to be constant over each element
$>$ The value of $\phi$ and $\phi^{*}$ is assumed equal to that at mid-element node

The influence coefficients, $H^{i j}$ and $G^{i j}$ are given as,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{H}^{i j} & =\frac{1}{2} \delta(i, j)+\int_{\Gamma_{j}} \frac{\partial \phi^{*}}{\partial n} d \Gamma \\
G^{i j} & =\int_{\Gamma_{j}} \phi^{*} d \Gamma
\end{aligned}
$$


' $i$ ' is the source point (where fundamental solution is acting)
' $j$ ' is the field point (any other nodes on the boundary)

## Boundary Element Method

## Evaluation of integrals:

$>H^{i j}$ and $G^{i j}$ can be calculated numerically, for the case $\boldsymbol{i} \neq \boldsymbol{j}$
$>$ For the case $i=j, H^{i j}$ and $G^{i j}$ are evaluated analytically

$$
\begin{gathered}
H^{i i}=\frac{\mathbf{1}}{2}+\int_{\Gamma_{j}} \frac{\partial \phi^{*}}{\partial n} d \Gamma=\frac{\mathbf{1}}{2}+\int_{\Gamma}\left(\frac{\partial \phi^{*}}{\partial r} \frac{\partial y}{\partial n}\right) d \Gamma=\frac{1}{2} \\
G^{i i}=\int_{\Gamma_{i}} \phi^{*} d \Gamma=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\Gamma_{i}} \ln \left(\frac{1}{r}\right) d \Gamma=\frac{1}{\pi}\left(\frac{l}{2}\right)\left[\ln \left(\frac{1}{l / 2}\right)+1\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

## Boundary Element Method

## Linear Elements:

$>\phi$ and $\phi^{*}$ are assumed to vary linearly over each element


Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H^{i j}=\frac{1}{2} \delta(i, j)+\int_{\Gamma_{j}}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
N_{1} & N_{2}
\end{array}\right] \frac{\partial \phi^{*}}{\partial n} d \Gamma \\
& G^{i j}=\int_{\Gamma_{j}}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
N_{1} & N_{2}
\end{array}\right] \phi^{*} d \Gamma
\end{aligned}
$$



Element ' $\boldsymbol{j}$ '

## Boundary Element Method

Putting all the unknowns on LHS we get,

$$
[A]\{x\}=\{F\}
$$

Note: $\boldsymbol{A}$ is a dense matrix

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\begin{array}{c}
\text { Dense Matrix } \\
\mathbf{A} \\
(N \times N)
\end{array}\right) \\
& \left\{\begin{array}{ll}
x & z \\
\dot{0} & x \\
0 & x \\
0 & z
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x \\
x \\
x \\
z \\
z
\end{array}\right\} \\
& \text { DIRECT } \\
& O\left(N^{3}\right) \\
& \text { ITERATIVE } \\
& O\left(N^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Fast Integral Equation Solver

## Results: 2-Conductor Problem



Matrix-Vector multiplication: $O\left(N(\log N)^{2}\right)$
Storage: $O\left(N(\log N)^{2}\right)$

## Fast Integral Equation Solver

## Results : Mirror Problem


murmun rROBLEM (Storage plot)
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Matrix-Vector multiplication: $O\left(N(\log N)^{2}\right)$
Storage: $O\left(N(\log N)^{2}\right)$

## Fast Integral Equation Solver

## Results: Comb-Drive Problem



Matrix-Vector multiplication: $O(N \log N)$ Storage: $O(N \log N)$
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## Coupled Electromechanical Analysis

$\Rightarrow$ We need to self-consistently solve the coupled electrical and mechanical equations to compute the equilibrium displacements and forces. Three approaches -
$\Rightarrow$ Relaxation technique
$\Rightarrow$ Full-Newton method
$\Rightarrow$ Multi-level Newton method
$\Rightarrow$ Solution of elastostatic equations is represented by

$$
u=R_{M}(P(q))
$$

$\Rightarrow$ Solution of electrostatic equations is represented by

$$
q=R_{E}(u, V)
$$

## Relaxation Technique

$\Rightarrow$ Simplest black-box approach
Data is passed back and forth between black-box electrostatic and elastostatic analysis programs until a converged solution is obtained

$$
k=1 ; u^{k}=\mathbf{0}
$$

Repeat

$$
\text { Compute } \boldsymbol{q}^{k}=\boldsymbol{R}_{E}\left(\boldsymbol{u}^{k}\right)
$$

$$
\text { Compute } \boldsymbol{u}^{(k+1)}=\boldsymbol{R}_{M}\left(\boldsymbol{P}\left(\boldsymbol{q}^{k}\right)\right)
$$

$$
k=k+1
$$

Until $\left\|\boldsymbol{u}^{k}-\boldsymbol{u}^{k+1}\right\| \leq \varepsilon \quad\left\|\boldsymbol{q}^{k}-\boldsymbol{q}^{k+1}\right\| \leq \varepsilon$

## Relaxation Technique

$\Rightarrow$ Advantages
$\Rightarrow$ Very quick implementation based on black-boxes
$\Rightarrow$ Existing mechanical and electrical solvers can be used
$\Rightarrow$ Disadvantages
$\Rightarrow$ Fails to converge for strong coupling between electrical and mechanical domains

## Multi-Level Newton Algorithm

$\Rightarrow$ Matrix-free approaches: Matrix-vector product involving a Jacobian and a vector can be computed as

$$
\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{R}}{\partial u} \Delta u=\frac{\boldsymbol{R}(\boldsymbol{u}+\varepsilon \Delta u)-\boldsymbol{R}(u)}{\varepsilon}
$$

$\Rightarrow$ Define a new residual

$$
\boldsymbol{R}(u, q)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
q-\boldsymbol{R}_{E}(u) \\
u-\boldsymbol{R}_{M}(\boldsymbol{q})
\end{array}\right\}
$$

$\Rightarrow$ The Jacobian of the residual is given by

$$
\boldsymbol{J}(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{q})=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{R}_{1}}{\partial \boldsymbol{q}} & \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{R}_{1}}{\partial u} \\
\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{R}_{2}}{\partial \boldsymbol{q}} & \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{R}_{2}}{\partial \boldsymbol{u}}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\boldsymbol{I} & -\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{R}_{E}}{\partial \boldsymbol{u}} \\
-\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{R}_{M}}{\partial \boldsymbol{q}} & \boldsymbol{I}
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Multi-Level Newton Algorithm

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{k}=\mathbf{1} ; \boldsymbol{u}^{k}=\mathbf{0} ; \boldsymbol{q}^{k}=\mathbf{0} \quad \text { use an iterative solver } \\
& \text { Repeat } \\
& \text { solve } \boldsymbol{J}\left(\boldsymbol{u}^{k}, \boldsymbol{q}^{k}\right)\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\delta \boldsymbol{q} \boldsymbol{q}\}=-\boldsymbol{R}\left(\boldsymbol{u}^{k}, \boldsymbol{q}^{k}\right) \\
\delta \boldsymbol{u}
\end{array}\right\} \\
& \text { set } \boldsymbol{u}^{k+1}=\boldsymbol{u}^{k}+\delta \boldsymbol{u} \\
& \text { set } \boldsymbol{q}^{k+1}=\boldsymbol{q}^{k}+\delta \boldsymbol{q} \\
& \boldsymbol{k}=\boldsymbol{k}+\mathbf{1} \\
& \text { until }\left|\boldsymbol{u}^{k}-\boldsymbol{u}^{k+1} \leq \varepsilon \quad\right| \boldsymbol{q}^{k}-\boldsymbol{q}^{k+1} \leq \varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

## Iterative Solution of Linear Systems

$\Rightarrow$ Lets say we need to solve $P q=p$
$\Rightarrow$ Key steps in GMRES algorithm
make an initial guess to the solution, $\boldsymbol{q}_{0}$
set $k=0$
do \{
compute the residual, $\boldsymbol{r}^{\boldsymbol{k}}=\overline{\boldsymbol{p}}-\boldsymbol{P} \boldsymbol{q}^{\boldsymbol{k}}$ if $\|\boldsymbol{r}\| \leq \boldsymbol{t o l}$, return $\boldsymbol{q}^{\boldsymbol{k}}$ as the solution else \{
choose $\alpha^{\prime} \boldsymbol{s}$ and $\beta$ in
$\boldsymbol{q}^{k+1}=\sum_{j=0}^{k} \alpha_{j} \boldsymbol{q}^{j}+\beta r^{k}$
to minimize $\left\|\boldsymbol{r}^{k+1}\right\|$
set $\boldsymbol{k}=\boldsymbol{k}+\mathbf{1}$
\}

## Multi-Level Newton Algorithm

$$
\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{R}}{\partial u} * r=\frac{\boldsymbol{R}(u+\theta * r)-\boldsymbol{R}(u)}{\theta}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta=\operatorname{sign}(u * r) * a \frac{\|u\|}{\|r\|} \\
& a \in(\mathbf{0 . 0 1 , 0 . 5})
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\boldsymbol{J}(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{q})\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\delta \boldsymbol{q} \\
\delta \boldsymbol{u}
\end{array}\right\}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\boldsymbol{I} & -\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{R}_{E}}{\partial u} \\
-\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{R}_{M}}{\partial \boldsymbol{q}} & \boldsymbol{I}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\delta \boldsymbol{q} \\
\delta \boldsymbol{u}
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\delta q-\frac{1}{\theta}\left[\boldsymbol{R}_{E}(\boldsymbol{u}+\theta \delta u)-\boldsymbol{R}_{E}(\boldsymbol{u})\right] \\
\delta u-\frac{1}{\theta}\left[\boldsymbol{R}_{M}(\boldsymbol{q}+\theta \delta \boldsymbol{q})-\boldsymbol{R}_{M}(\boldsymbol{q})\right]
\end{array}\right\}
$$

## Multi-Level Newton Algorithm

$\Rightarrow$ Advantages
$\Rightarrow$ Black box based approach
$\Rightarrow$ Superior global convergence

## Disadvantages

$\Rightarrow$ Can be sensitive to the choice of the matrix-free parameter

## Full-Newton Technique

$\Rightarrow$ Represent the mechanical and electrical equations as

$$
\begin{gathered}
R_{M}(u, q)=f^{\text {int }}(u)-f^{e x t}(q)=0 \\
R_{E}(u, q)=P(u) q-V=0
\end{gathered}
$$

$\Rightarrow$ Let $\bar{u}$ and $\bar{q}$ be self-consistent solutions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{R}_{M}(\bar{u}, \bar{q})=\mathbf{0} \\
& \boldsymbol{R}_{E}(\bar{u}, \bar{q})=\mathbf{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\Rightarrow$ Let $u_{0}$ and $q_{0}$ be some initial guess

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{R}_{M}(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{q})=\boldsymbol{R}_{M}\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{0}, \boldsymbol{q}_{0}\right)+\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{R}_{M}}{\partial u} \Delta u+\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{R}_{M}}{\partial \boldsymbol{q}} \Delta \boldsymbol{q}+\text { h.o.t }=0 \\
& \boldsymbol{R}_{E}(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{q})=\boldsymbol{R}_{E}\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{0}, \boldsymbol{q}_{0}\right)+\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{R}_{E}}{\partial u} \Delta u+\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{R}_{E}}{\partial \boldsymbol{q}} \Delta \boldsymbol{q}+\text { h.o.t }=0
\end{aligned}
$$

## Full-Newton Technique

$\Rightarrow$ Neglecting h.o.t

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{R}_{M}}{\partial \boldsymbol{u}} \Delta \boldsymbol{u}+\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{R}_{M}}{\partial \boldsymbol{q}} \Delta \boldsymbol{q}=-\boldsymbol{R}_{M}\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{0}, \boldsymbol{q}_{0}\right) \\
& \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{R}_{E}}{\partial \boldsymbol{u}} \Delta \boldsymbol{u}+\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{R}_{E}}{\partial \boldsymbol{q}} \Delta \boldsymbol{q}=-\boldsymbol{R}_{E}\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{0}, \boldsymbol{q}_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

In matrix form

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{R}_{M}}{\partial \boldsymbol{u}} & \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{R}_{M}}{\partial \boldsymbol{q}} \\
\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{R}_{E}}{\partial \boldsymbol{u}} & \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{R}_{E}}{\partial \boldsymbol{q}}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Delta \boldsymbol{u} \\
\Delta \boldsymbol{q}
\end{array}\right\}=-\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{R}_{M}\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{0}, \boldsymbol{q}_{0}\right) \\
\boldsymbol{R}_{E}\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{0}, \boldsymbol{q}_{0}\right)
\end{array}\right\}
$$

## Full Newton Algorithm

$$
i=0 ; u^{(i)}=0 ; q^{(i)}=0
$$

Repeat

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { solve }\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{R}_{M}}{\partial \boldsymbol{u}} & \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{R}_{M}}{\partial \boldsymbol{q}} \\
\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{R}_{E}}{\partial \boldsymbol{u}} & \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{R}_{E}}{\partial \boldsymbol{q}}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Delta \boldsymbol{u}^{(i)} \\
\Delta \boldsymbol{q}^{(i)}
\end{array}\right\}=-\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{R}_{M}\left(\boldsymbol{u}^{(i-1)}, \boldsymbol{q}^{(i-1)}\right) \\
\boldsymbol{R}_{E}\left(\boldsymbol{u}^{(i-1)}, \boldsymbol{q}^{(i-1)}\right)
\end{array}\right\} \\
& \text { set } \boldsymbol{u}^{(i)}=\boldsymbol{u}^{(i-1)}+\Delta \boldsymbol{u}^{(i)} \\
& \text { set } \boldsymbol{q}^{(i)}=\boldsymbol{q}^{(i-1)}+\Delta \boldsymbol{q}^{(i)} \\
& \boldsymbol{i}=\boldsymbol{i}+\boldsymbol{1} \\
& \text { until } \quad\left|\boldsymbol{u}^{(i)}\right| \leq \varepsilon \quad\left|\Delta \boldsymbol{q}^{(i)}\right| \leq \varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

## Full Newton Algorithm

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{M}}}{\partial \boldsymbol{u}} \rightarrow \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{f}^{\text {int }}(\boldsymbol{u})}{\partial \boldsymbol{u}} \rightarrow \text { entirely elastostatic part } \\
\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{R}_{E}}{\partial \boldsymbol{q}} \rightarrow \frac{\partial(\boldsymbol{P q}-\boldsymbol{V})}{\partial \boldsymbol{q}}=\boldsymbol{P} \rightarrow \text { entirely electrostatic part } \\
\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{R}_{M}}{\partial \boldsymbol{q}} \rightarrow \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{f}^{e x t}(\boldsymbol{q})}{\partial \boldsymbol{q}} \rightarrow \text { electrical to mechanical coupling term } \\
\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{R}_{E}}{\partial \boldsymbol{u}} \rightarrow \frac{\partial(\boldsymbol{P q}-\boldsymbol{V})}{\partial \boldsymbol{u}}=\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{P}(\boldsymbol{u})}{\partial \boldsymbol{u}} \boldsymbol{q} \rightarrow \begin{array}{l}
\text { mechanical to electrical coupling } \\
\text { term }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Microfluidics: Gas Flows

## Introduction to Microfilters

## Microfilter properties:

$\square$ Openings of various shapes
$\square$ Thickness between 1 and $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
$\square$ Opening size as small as 2 nm
$\square$ High burst pressure achieved

Design issues:
Flow profiles
$\square$ Estimation of flow rate
$\square$ Dependence of flow rate on:
$\square$ geometry
$\square$ surface properties
$\square$ pressure difference


Rarefaction effects observed due to small dimensions

## Characteristics of Flows in Micro-Channels

## Typical Characteristics:

- Compressible
- High Kn \#
- Small Re \#
- Small Ma \#
- Wide range of Kn \#
- Reacting


## Effects of high Knudsen Number:

- Slip velocity
- Thermal jump
- Strong interaction with walls


## DSMC Flow Chart

$\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { initialize particle positions \& velocities } \\ \text { initial domain decomposition } \\ \text { set initial estimate for self-consistent } \\ \text { boundary-conditions }\end{array}\right]$

## Micro-Filter Elements

|  | $1 \times 1$ | $1 \times 5$ | $0.2 \times 1$ | $1 \times 10$ | $0.05 \times 1$ | $0.2 \times 2$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $h_{c}(\mu \mathrm{~m})$ | 1 | 1 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.05 | 0.2 |
| $I_{c}(\mu \mathrm{~m})$ | 1 | 5 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 2 |
| $\mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{p}}(\mu \mathrm{m})$ | 5 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 1 |
| $\mathrm{I}_{\text {in }}(\mu \mathrm{m})$ | 4 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| $\mathrm{I}_{\text {out }}(\mu \mathrm{m})$ | 7 | 7 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 5 |
| Kn | 0.054 | 0.054 | 0.27 | 0.054 | 1.1 | 0.27 |



## $1 \mu \mathrm{mX} 1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ Filter Element



## Knudsen Number and Length Effects



Effect of Kn:
ㄱ Slip velocity increases with Kn


Effect of Length:
$\square$ As le/hc increases, 2D channel approximation holds good for smaller Kn

## Effect of Surface Accommodation



Smaller accomodation coefficients:
$\square$ Strong increase in slip velocity
ㄱ Temperature drop increases

## Flow Rate vs. Pressure Difference



〕 Dependence of flow rate on pressure is linear
$\square$ Qualitative behavior is captured by 2D channel formula $+1^{\text {st }}$ order slip BC (Arkilic \& Breuer, 1997)
$\square$ Good agreement for large le/hc
$\square$ Effective length can be used for smaller le/hc

## Conclusions

$\Rightarrow$ MEMS design is still an art
$\Rightarrow$ Critical issues
$\Rightarrow$ Mixed-domain simulation tools
$\Rightarrow$ Multiscale approaches
$\Rightarrow$ System level modeling tools
$\Rightarrow$ Need fast and radically simpler techniques for MEMS modeling

